

Recall that an *inner product* on a vector space V is a formula \langle, \rangle satisfying:

Conjugate symmetry $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \overline{\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}$ for all $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$.

Linearity in first coordinate $\langle r\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = r \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w} \rangle$ for all $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w} \in V$ and all $r \in F$.

Positivity $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in V$, and

Definiteness $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$ only when $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$.

On the face of things, there could be lots of formulas \langle, \rangle satisfying these properties. In fact, all the possible inner products on a finite-dimensional vector space can be classified. Problems 33 and 34 of the section of the homework on matrix theory give a complete classification of inner products on V when $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ or \mathbb{C}^n . Here are the relevant results:

Definition 1 Let $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . A matrix A is called *positive definite* if

- for every $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, the product $\mathbf{v}^H A \mathbf{v} \geq 0$ (in particular, this means $\mathbf{v}^H A \mathbf{v}$ is real for every \mathbf{v}), and
- if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is such that $\mathbf{v}^H A \mathbf{v} = 0$, then $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$.

Lemma 1 (Dual relations) Let $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} and let \langle, \rangle represent the standard (dot or Hermitian) inner product. Let $A \in M_{mn}(F)$ and $B \in M_{nm}(F)$ be given. Then for all $\mathbf{x} \in F^n$ and $\mathbf{y} \in F^m$, we have

$$\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, A^H \mathbf{y} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathbf{x}, B\mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle B^H \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle.$$

Theorem 1 (Classification of inner products on \mathbb{R}^n) 1. Suppose $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ is symmetric and positive definite. Then the formula $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{x}$ defines an inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

2. Suppose \langle, \rangle is some inner product on \mathbb{R}^n . Then there exists a symmetric and positive definite matrix A , called the matrix associated to the inner product, such that for every $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{x}.$$

If you know the inner product \langle, \rangle , the associated matrix A is computed by finding inner products of the standard basis vectors. In particular, if we denote the entries of A by a_{ij} , then $a_{ij} = \langle \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle$ for all i, j .

This theorem says that there is a correspondence between inner products on \mathbb{R}^n and symmetric, positive definite matrices A . The usual Euclidean inner product corresponds to $A = I$. This correspondence is useful because if you are given some inner product \langle, \rangle on \mathbb{R}^n , then you can always take the associated matrix A and write the inner product as $\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{x}$, then use matrix theory if you have to manipulate it.

The theorem also says that every inner product on \mathbb{R}^n is related to the usual dot product; in particular, for a given inner product with associated matrix A , then for all \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , we have $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = A\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} \cdot A\mathbf{y}$ where \cdot represents Euclidean dot product. (The last equality follows from the dual relations and the fact that A is symmetric.)

Theorem 2 (Classification of inner products on \mathbb{C}^n) 1. Suppose $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is Hermitian and positive definite. Then the formula $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \mathbf{y}^H A \mathbf{x}$ defines an inner product on \mathbb{C}^n .

2. Suppose \langle, \rangle is some inner product on \mathbb{C}^n . Then there exists a Hermitian and positive definite matrix A , called the matrix associated to the inner product, such that for every $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \mathbf{y}^H A \mathbf{x}.$$

As in the real case, if you know the inner product \langle, \rangle , the associated matrix A is computed by finding inner products of the standard basis vectors. In particular, if we denote the entries of A by a_{ij} , then $a_{ij} = \langle \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle$ for all i, j .

This theorem says that there is a correspondence between inner products on \mathbb{C}^n and Hermitian, positive definite matrices A . The usual Hermitian inner product corresponds to $A = I$. This correspondence is useful because if you are given some inner product \langle, \rangle on \mathbb{C}^n , then you can always take the associated matrix A and write the inner product as $\mathbf{y}^H A \mathbf{x}$, then use matrix theory if you have to manipulate it.

The theorem also says that every inner product on \mathbb{C}^n is related to the usual Hermitian inner product; in particular, for a given inner product with associated matrix A , then for all \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , we have $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = A \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} \cdot A^H \mathbf{y} = A \mathbf{y}$ where \cdot represents Hermitian inner product. (The last equality follows from the dual relations and the fact that A is a Hermitian matrix.)