

Theorem 1 (Polar Decomposition) *Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then there exists a unitary matrix $U \in U_n$ such that*

$$A = U\sqrt{A^H A}.$$

Proof: Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\|A\mathbf{v}\|^2 = \langle A\mathbf{v}, A\mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle A^H A\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \sqrt{A^H A}\mathbf{v}, \sqrt{A^H A}\mathbf{v} \rangle = \|\sqrt{A^H A}\mathbf{v}\|^2$$

so by taking square roots, we have $\|A\mathbf{v}\| = \|\sqrt{A^H A}\mathbf{v}\|$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Next, let $T : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be defined by $T(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$ and let $R : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be defined by $R(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{A^H A}\mathbf{x}$. Now, define $S : \text{image}(R) \rightarrow \text{image}(T)$ by setting $S(R(\mathbf{x})) = T(\mathbf{x})$. It's not obvious that this can be done (i.e. that S is "well-defined"; in particular, if there were two vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} such that $R(\mathbf{x}) = R(\mathbf{y})$ but $T(\mathbf{x}) \neq T(\mathbf{y})$, then we could not define S at $R(\mathbf{x}) = R(\mathbf{y})$ so that it satisfied $S(R(\mathbf{x})) = T(\mathbf{x})$ and $S(R(\mathbf{y})) = T(\mathbf{y})$. But, in fact, this can't happen:

Claim: If $R(\mathbf{x}) = R(\mathbf{y})$ then $T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y})$.

Proof of claim: Suppose $R(\mathbf{x}) = R(\mathbf{y})$. Then

$$0 = \|R(\mathbf{x}) - R(\mathbf{y})\| = \|R(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\| = \|\sqrt{A^H A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\| = \|A(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\| = \|A\mathbf{x} - A\mathbf{y}\| = \|T(\mathbf{x}) - T(\mathbf{y})\|$$

so $T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y})$ (the fourth equality follows from the first paragraph of the proof of the theorem).